Seven Deadly Sins of Debugging

Roger Orr

OR/2 Limited

www.howzatt.demon.co.uk

ACCU conference 2008

Debugging is the worst case

- The best bug is one that didn't happen.
- Learn and apply techniques to reduce problems up front. These include:
 - clear specifications
 - good design
 - defensive programming
 - static code analysis tools
 - unit testing
 - code reviews
 - pair programming

Debugging is the worst case

- The best bug is one that didn't happen.
- Learn and apply techniques to reduce problems up front. These include:
 - clear specifications
 - good design
 - defensive programming
 - static code analysis tools
 - unit testing
 - code reviews
 - pair programming
- This presentation isn't about these.

 "In this world nothing is certain except death and taxes"

- "In this world nothing is certain except death and taxes ... and bugs"
- Bugs "shouldn't" happen, but they do
- There seems to be no silver bullet despite all our endeavours in preventing bugs some of them always seem to survive

- How well do we handle bugs?
- Better programmers can be 20 times faster
- at finding defects
 - Find higher percentage of defects
 - Spend less time on each defect
 - Introduce fewer fresh problems
- Why is there this differential ?

- There was only one Sherlock Holmes; but Dr. Watson picked up a few ideas about detection as the stories progressed.
- "Here is my lens. You know my methods."
- What prevents us learning?



The human element

- There are technical challenges in debugging, but before we can solve them we must face our "deadly sins":
 - Inattention
 - Pride
 - Naivety
 - Anger
 - Sloth
 - Blame
 - Vagueness

- Inattention is the first deadly sin of debugging.
 - We don't see the real symptoms
 - We miss the vital clue
 - We fail to spot patterns
 - We make the same mistakes again
- Inattention is almost entirely negative

- What are the real symptoms?
- Importance of details
 - Specific messages
 - Dates/times
 - Configuration
 - What else is going on
- It's hard to concentrate...
 - automate
 - checklists
 - breaks

- What is the pattern?
- The human brain is good at seeing patterns

- What is the pattern?
- The human brain is good at seeing patterns
- We can see patterns that aren't there ...
- and ignore observations that don't fit
- 18 28 18 28 ?? ??

- A second pair of eyes can give a fresh view
- Find different ways to view the problem
 Example: call method twice during optimising
- Explain the problem to someone else

- Observation is the first virtue of debugging.
 - What is our strategy for observing programs?
 - What tools are available, which ones do we use?
 - How can we make programs easy to observe?

"Observation is useless""

Sometimes observation is not enough



• Perhaps, if you iron it, words appear?

* with apologies to Douglas Adams

- Pride keeps us debugging longer than we should
 - Not my problem, so spend time looking at other, often less accessible, parts of the system
 - We don't ask for help
 - We don't look for, or don't use, the right tools to assist with debugging

- Pride can have a good side
- One of the 'Virtues of a Perl programmer'
 - "the quality that makes you write (and maintain) programs that other people won't want to say bad things about"
- Can help keep you motivated

- Pride can have a good side
- One of the 'Virtues of a Perl programmer'
 - "the quality that makes you write (and maintain) programs that other people won't want to say bad things about"
- Can help keep you motivated
- Or celebrate afterwards...



- Pride keeps us debugging when we ought to stop
 - We can keep on with a hunch rather than reexamining the evidence
 - We are sure we are going to fix the problem and don't look for alternative options

- Our pride may also have got us here in the first place!
 - Inappropriately clever code
 - Writing it yourself rather than using standard parts
 - Not prepared for your code to be wrong

Everyone knows that debugging is twice as hard as writing a program in the first place. So if you're as clever as you can be when you write it, how will you ever debug it?

Brian Kernighan, "The Elements of Programming Style", 2nd ed., chapter 2

- The corresponding virtue is humility
 - I could be wrong
 - Most real experts are humble: "I think the proper definition ought to be..." - sample posting from the C++ moderated newsgroup by Bjarne Stroustrup
 - What don't I know?
 - What options have I ignored?
 - Who can I ask and how?

- We don't seem to learn from our mistakes
- "Plan" for bugs
- Examine the problem domain, and the program
 - What is most likely to go wrong?
 - What are the potential bugs?
 - What information will I need to trouble shoot?
 - What is the cost of a fault?

- Naivety can have a good side
- The simplest explanation for a bug is often the right one
- "YAGNI" the code "you ain't gonna need" doesn't need debugging

- Can be very dangerous when debugging
- "It is a capital offence to theorize in advance of the facts" - Adventure of the Second Stain.
- Need to collect *enough* information to ensure our hypotheses are verifiable
- Be prepared to try again

- Bugs are not isolated
- Where else is this bug?
 - Do we just wait for another occurrence
 - Can we automate the search?
- How will I avoid this in the future?
 - Is the API badly designed?
 - Does the documentation need improving?
 - Can we automatically detect the bug?

- The corresponding virtue is **wisdom**
 - Knowledge is knowing a tomato is a fruit
 - Wisdom is not putting it in the fruit salad
- A big part of wisdom is standing back from the immediate problem and reflecting For example:
 - Where have I seen these symptoms before?
 - Pro-actively, what is going to go wrong?

- Anger (and other emotions) can cloud our judgement
- If we stop being calm we stop thinking clearly
- Denial of the truth black and white rather than shades of grey
- Optimising code you can always make it faster but when do we stop?
 - Emotion can keep us optimising well past the point of return
 - and even when the new code is actually *slower* than the old

- Emotion can have a good side
 - It motivates and energises us
 - It can help involve others
 - Fixing the bug gives a 'buzz'

- Getting personal: "I'm going to fix this bug if it's the last thing I do"
- If we can't fix this bug what is our plan B?
 Often reluctant to consider alternatives
- Danger of believing any action is better than nothing
 - It may keep our manager (or customer) off our back

- "Develop your plan to fit the facts, not the other way around. And, do not allow your judgement to be clouded by emotion!" -Sherlock Holmes (again)
- As with observation, we need to see the *actual facts* of the situation and not just
 - What we wish was true
 - What the observer claimed
 - What our prejudices expect ("It's that OS again")

- We overcome this fault with patience
- Take time to reflect, if necessary
 - It may be a slow process
 - Can formalise this: "I will spend one hour doing a direct attack in the debugger and then ..."
- Keep the bug in focus
 - Don't get sidetracked into changing things JIC
 - Don't make the bug consume too much effort

- Sloth means we try to avoid necessary work
- Debugging can be hard work so we put it off
- Usually easier to find bugs up front the hardest place is after the code is released
- Temptation to just go into the debugger and poke around rather than *think* about the bug

- Laziness: the first virtue of a Perl programmer
 - "The quality that makes you go to great effort to reduce overall energy expenditure. It makes you write labour-saving programs that other people will find useful, and document what you wrote so you don't have to answer so many questions about it."
- Write useful tools and scripts
- Use available programs
- If I document how I solved the problem I avoid support calls
 - Google as a debugging tool

- Failure to complete the analysis, so we fix a bug not the bug
- Short term-ism we fix the symptoms not the cause

Sloth results in ignorance

- Failure to read around the subject or fully understand the technology used
- Little knowledge of, or interest in, what's behind the system
- Lack of knowledge of how other people fix this sort of problem, and what tools exist to help us
- Ignorance makes it hard to debug

- The virtue is **diligence** (not overwork)
- Learn enough about the system
- Always approach the debugger with a question or a hypothesis
- Reflect on the work put in what would have reduced my effort?

- Easy to blame and lots of candidates
 - Other team members
 - Management
 - Users
 - Testers
 - Any third party component you include
 - Compilers
 - Operating systems
 - Hardware
 - Cosmic rays

• Sometimes blame is good: it isn't your fault and nothing you can do can change things

- Blame doesn't fix the problem, but may lose you some allies
 - Poor motivator: the other people just want to deflect the blame rather than fix the problem
- Other systems probably do have bugs but am I likely to be the only person to find them?
- Even if you can blame another system, you still have a bug to fix

- How do the other people in the project and the company handle blame?
 - Project managers
 - QA/test teams
 - End users
- Do what you can to remove a blame culture from the team, or people try to cover up bugs rather than fixing them.
- Eg: If everyone is shouting "my bit's working" then no-one is looking for what is actually broken!

- **Responsibility** is the antidote to blame.
- Be prepared to admit you got something wrong (or may have got something wrong)
- Look at why you got it wrong
- Think about the way you use the tools and technologies you have: are you increasing or reducing the chance of discovering bugs in other people's code?

Something's broken again

- Vagueness is fatal to effective fault finding
- What *exactly* is the bug
- Related to observation need for capturing precisely the right pieces of data
- Fixing 'a' bug not 'the' bug
- Experiment report an unspecified bug in a piece of code and see how long it takes people to find it

Something's broken again

- Focus on what you're doing
- Avoid fixing other things while we're there
- Don't optimise broken code
- When generating error messages ask whether they contain enough (any) useful information
 - Especially under the actual target configuration

Something's broken again

- Precision greatly improves the bug hunting experience
- Contrast "The new release is broken"
- With "When trying to open file name, I get this error message (text)"
 - Don't forget sin #1 (inattention)

First the bad news

- Debugging is hard, and doesn't seem to be going away.
 - More distributed systems
 - Increasing mix of technologies and languages
 - Increased security (eg user access controls, firewalls, audit requirements, DRM protection)
 - Larger number of dependencies
 - Decreased time to market

Then the good news

- Genius debuggers may be born, but great debuggers are made
- It's not a black art, and how we approach it can both reduce the pain of doing it and greatly improve the degree of success

Deadly Sins or Cardinal Virtues?

- Inattention
- Pride
- Naivety
- Anger
- Sloth
- Blame

Vagueness

Observation Humility Wisdom Patience Diligence Responsibility Precision

professionalism in debugging