ACCU 2017

C++ CORE GUIDELINES - SAFER CODE Modernize your C++ Code Base



INSTITUTE FOR SOFTWARE

Prof. Peter Sommerlad Director of IFS April 2017



FHO Fachhochschule Ostschweiz



Download IDE at: www.cevelop.com





Cove?

C++ Core Guidelines.pdf





page 1

© Peter Sommerlad





page 377

377

- (SutterU2): H. Sutter: Hore Bearptional C++ (Addison-Wesley, 2012).
 (SutterU4): H. Sutter: Exceptional: O + Style (Addison-Wesley, 2014). · (Taligent 94) Taligent's Guide to Designing Programs (Addison-Wesley, 1994).
- . (SuttAlexCE): H. Sutter and A. Alexandrescu, C++ Goding Standards, Addison-Wesley 2005. • (Sutter/O): H. Sutter: Exceptional O = (Addison-Wesley 2005.
- [Strauttup14]: Il: Strouttup: A Text of C=: Addson Wesley 2014. [SuttRys104b]: H. Sutter and I. Hyslop. "Collecting Shared Objects" (C,C++ Users) Journal, 22(8), August 2014).
- (Strainitup13) & Strainitup. The C++ Programming Language (4th Editor). Addison Wesley 2013.
- [Stroump03] & Stroump. A rationale for semantically enhanced library Campulapes.
- (Addison-Wesley, 2000)
- [Marog/B] R. Manag. C= Strategies and Tactics (Addison-Wesley, 1998).
 [Straustrup10] B. Straustrup. The C++ Programming Language (Special 3ntBillion).
- [Mayers97] S. Mayers. Effective C→ Grid Edition/-phdisen-Mieslay, 1997).
 [Mayers15]: S. Mayers. Effective Hodern C→ (O'Bally, 2015)
- DalestRig. J. Lakes. Large-Scale C++ Software Design (Addison Wesley 1994).
 Dispensibility: S. Hoyen, Here Illinctive: O++ (Addison Wesley 1994).
- 1997). · [Koanig97] A. Kaonig and B. Mao. Ruminations on O + (Addison-Weslay 1997).
- [Hamicson97] M. Hamicson and E. Nypaist. Industrial Strength C++ (Provide Hall)
- Wailey, 1999).
- · [Dawhurstill]: S. Dewhurst, C++ Gotchas (Addson-Wedery 2008).

- (C++CS)
 (CargBFG) T. CargBL. C+ Programming Style (Addison-Wedary 1993).

(Nexantresol01) A Alexantresol Mattern C++ Design (Addison Wesley, 2001).
 (C++01) ISQ40C 14882-2005(0) ProgrammingLanguages – C++ Spotent (S0 and

ANSIC++ Standard including the contents of (C++90) plus erata sorractions.

- . [Cline99] M. Cline, G. Lomew, and H. Girou. C++ FAQs (Indiation) (Addison-

Bibliography





© Peter Sommerlad

Guide-lines?





C++ Core Guidelines

Goal: transition "legacy" C++ code towards modern C++

but which might not be followed by others or in older code

underlying idea: provide static analysis tools to warn about some violations

Cevelop already provides some of the corresponding checkers

Philosophy is to write modern standard C++ code

- express intent in the language not comments (P.S.: "Only the code tells the truth")
- use good naming
- know the standard library and libraries you actually use
- employ the type system: name types and abstractions sidestep simple types where appropriate
- type safety and compile-time checking run time errors if needed but always checked for, no UB or leaks

Supported by GSL - Guideline Support Library

- RAII with finally, Safe Narrowing, Contracts, span<T>, string_span, pointer stuff, byte
- header-only, no linking, portable

work in progress, not finished





© Peter Sommerlad



Reason Code using a library can be much easier to write than code and best tested libraries. It is available as part of all C++ Implementations.

```
case BRACKET_END: {
   Some random C++ Core Guidelines Examples
                                                                                            bracket_count--;
                                                                                            if (bracket_count < 0) {</pre>
                                                                                                syntax_error = true;
                                                                                            } else if (bracket_count == 0) {
                                                                                                bracket_end = pos;
ES.1: Prefer the standard library to other libraries and to "handcrafted code"
                                                                                                break;
working directly with language features, much shorter, tend to be of a
                                                                                                             Problem description: No break at the end of case
                                                                                         Add break statement
                                                                                         Add suppressing commen
higher level of abstraction, and the library code is presumably already
tested. The ISO C++ standard library is among the most widely known
                                                                                  ES.78: Always end a non-empty case with a break
                                                                                  Reason Accidentally leaving out a break is a fairly common bug. A
                                                                                  deliberate fallthrough is a maintenance hazard.Example
Example
                                                                                  switch (eventType)
auto sum = accumulate(begin(a), end(a), 0.0);
                                                            // good
a range version of accumulate would be even better:
                                                                                  case Information:
                                                                                       update_status_bar();
auto sum = accumulate(v, 0.0); // better
                                                                                       break;
but don't hand-code a well-known algorithm:
                                                                                  case Warning:
                                                                                       write_event_log();
int max = v.size(); // bad: verbose, purpose unstated
                                                                                  case Error:
double sum = 0.0;
                                                                                       display error window(); // Bad
for (int i = 0; i < max; ++i)
                                                                                       break;
     sum = sum + v[i];
                                                                                  Note Multiple case labels of a single statement is OK:
                                                                                  switch (x) {
                                                                                   case 'a':
                                                                                  case 'b':
                                                                                  case 'f':
                                                                                       do something(x);
                                                                                       break;
                                                                                  Enforcement Flag all fallthroughs from non-empty cases.
```



My take on the Core Guidelines



- Fosters Modern C++ Style
- Safer Code less Undefined behavior
- Pointer Safety
- Resource Management
- Parameter Passing
- Good Software Engineering Principles
- Less Verbosity
- Common Sense (which might not be so common)
- Rid code of "C-isms" and 1990s C++
- Provide transformation guidelines
- Helper Library (GSL)
- Potential for static analysis checks



Cons

- Too many rules, can't know them all
- Rules must be prioritized to be useful
- Some rules only provide bad examples
- Overlap in Rules
- Categorization not always clear
- Some rules can not be adapted incrementally without losing effectiveness
- Common sense
- Specialist rules, you should not write code that needs them, unless you should already know what you are doing
- Too modern for your environment
- C++17/20 will make some helpers obsolete
- Iacks opposite of owner<T>



- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.Prefer immutable data to mutable data**
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code



C++ Core Guidelines: Express Ideas Directly in Code

1. Express ideas directly in code

- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3. Express intent**
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- 5. Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- **11.Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code**

- Comments are not compiled
 - name functions, types, variables accordingly
- Apply the "Whole Object" Pattern
 - types for units, UDL for constants, not double
- Avoid self-written loops in favor of algorithms

```
// no comment..
// Example
auto g= 9.81_m/(1s*1s);
```

see my talk from ACCU 2016 on Units: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N94oNLVNyLM







- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- Compilers tend to be too generous
- Beware platform dependency (esp. MS)
- Beware compiler extensions silently enabled (gcc)
- Use multiple compilers (clang and gcc)
- Code might not port to more modern standards

```
for example:
g++ -std=c++14 -pedantic-errors -Werr -Wall -Wextra
or
g++ -std=c++17 -pedantic-errors -Werr -Wall -Wextra
//my take: sidestep #define macros
```

// -> C++ constexpr functions transformation // -> Macronator: macro inlining as last resort





- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++

3. Express intent

- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- see P.1 express ideas directly in code
- Use range-for loop or better algorithms
 - instead of while with external loop variables or for using counters/iterators explicitly
- Know the language and the standard library!

```
int i = 0;
while (i < v.size()) {</pre>
    // ... do something with v[i] ...
}
// better
for(auto const &x:v){
    // ... do something with x ...
}
// or
for_each(begin(v),end(v),[](auto const & x){
    // ... do something with x ...
});
```



- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent

4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe

- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- unions use variant (C++17/boost)
- casts every cast denotes a design problem
 - very few exceptions in library/low-level code
- array to pointer decay, range errors
 - use span (C++17/GSL), array<>, or string view
- narrowing conversions GSL narrow cast, {init}

```
variant<uchar8_t,uint16_t,uint32_t,uint64_t>
```

```
double sum(double *da, size_t n) //->
double sum(span<double> da)
```

```
int const i{42.2}; // compile error, vs. int i=42.2;
```



- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- 5. Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- use -Werr etc. see P.2
- run static analysis tools (Cevelop, Linticator, etc)
- static assert to check compile-time assumptions
 - e.g. bit sizes
- use gsl::span<T> to avoid size errors in functions using arrays

```
static_assert(sizeof(int)==4, "must run on 32bit machine");
static_assert(std::is_signed_v<char>); // C++17
static_assert(std::is_signed<char>::value,"char must be signed");
```

```
static_assert(sizeof(void*)==sizeof(int), "pointer size wrong");
```



- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should GSL provides gsl_assert library for contracts be checkable at run time Conscious error and exception handling!
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- core guidelines explanation is weak for this topic
- sidestep pointers
 - use smart pointers and make_xxx functions to manage memory
- C++20 might come with "Contracts" support
 - check pre- and post-conditions!

```
auto pint=make_unique<int>(42);
auto dynintarray=make_unique<int[]>(100);
shared_ptr<base> p=make_shared<subclass>(ctor_arguments);
```

```
array<int,10> a;
```

```
a.at(42); // throws
```



- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- again, a weakly described topic
- Do range checks early, e.g., use at() instead of []
 - better avoid the need for range checks
 - use vector, span, range-for, algorithms

double sum(double *da, size_t n) //-> double sum(span<double> da) //or just use a vector with accumulate(begin(v),end(v),0);



- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- RAII resource acquisition is initialization
- unique_ptr and make_unique
- shared ptr and make shared
- scope guards: lock_guard, unique_lock
- C++20?: scope guard, unique resource
- NO NAKED OWNING POINTERS
- NO explicit new/delete/malloc/free/fopen/strdup etc
- USE std:: vector, string, array instead of pointers

```
auto pi=make_unique<int>(6*7);
auto guard=gsl::finally([]{std::cout << "cleanup";});</pre>
// for C resources
auto s=unique_ptr<char const,void(*)(void *)>
           {strdup("hello"),&::free};
auto f=unique_ptr<FILE,decltype(::fclose)>
           {fopen("hello.txt","r"),::fclose}; // use ifstream!
```



- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- This includes space for source code
 - implies time to understand it, see P.1
- Learn the Standard Library!
 - especially: vector, string, array, map
 - and the algorithms

```
// seen things like that in production code!
vector<int> v1(10);
for (int i=0; i < v1.size(); ++i)</pre>
    v1[i]=42;
vector<int> v2;
for (vector<int>::iterator it=v1.begin(); it != v1.end();++it)
    v2.push_back(vi[it-v1.begin()]);
```

```
// better
vector<int> v1(10,42); // need to use () instead of {}
auto v2=v1; // or vector<int> v2{v1};
```



- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- С
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.Prefer immutable data to mutable data**
- **11.**Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- make your code
 - "As const as possible, but not more" (Dewhurst)
- Cevelop provides the "Constificator" plug-in automating const introduction
- Few exceptions might lead to less efficient code
 - NRVO, mutated parameters passed by value
- remember const value parameters do not influence overload resolution

```
oid word_should_output_the_word() {
     Word w{"completely"};
      Add const-qualification
```

Problem description: Const-qualification could be added.

```
ovid word_should_output_the_word() {
     Word const w { "completely" };
     std::ostringstream output{};
     output << w;
     ASSERT_EQUAL(w.str(), output.str());
```



- **1.** Express ideas directly in code
- 2. Write in ISO Standard C++
- **3.** Express intent
- 4. Ideally, a program should be statically type safe
- **5.** Prefer compile-time checking to run-time checking
- 6. What cannot be checked at compile time should be checkable at run time
- 7. Catch run-time errors early
- 8. Don't leak any resources
- 9. Don't waste time or space
- **10.**Prefer immutable data to mutable data

11.Encapsulate messy constructs, rather than spreading through the code

- Use the available abstractions correctly
- Provide abstractions for your domain
- If it looks ugly, encapsulate
- Remember: "Less code == more software" — Kevlin Henney.

Extract function refactoring currently updated by master student. (next CDT release?)

Type and Template aliases with using.

Extract Template Parameter refactoring for generalizing of code.

For future simpler meta-programming ideas, see boost.hana library and some ACCU 2017 talks!



- Interfaces
- Functions
- **Classes and Hierarchies**
- **Enumerations**
- **Resource Management**
- **Expressions and Statements**
- **Concurrency and Parallelism**
- Error Handling
- Constants
- Templates
- **C-style Programming**
- Source Files
- **Standard Library**

Supporting sections

- Architecture
- Non-rules and Myths
- all declarations on top of function
- single-return rule
- no exceptions
- one class per source file
- two-phase initialization
- goto exit
- References
- Profiles
- Guideline Support Library (GSL)
- Naming and Layout





Resource Leaks

solution: smart pointers, RAII classes, ownership

Using invalid Pointers (dangling, casts)

solution: no raw pointers + much more

Memory corruption

bounds checks, avoid dangling pointers

Type System circumvention through casts, void *, etc.

- solution: employ static type safety
- rid code of C-style casts

Code understandability

solution: suggest syntax from different choices, good naming, sidestep traps

```
Pointers: prefer references
```

```
Single Object: T *
                       we: borrower<T*>
Parameter: (T*, size_t n) -> (span<T>)
Ownership of memory: owner<T*> p_owner=new T;
Must delete, better unique_ptr<T> and make_unique
Shared ownership: shared_ptr<T>
Arrays: std::array<T,n> for fixed size
        std::vector<T> for run-time sized
if required: learn about C++ allocators for
specific embedded needs and parameterize vector
with it.
```

Absolutely NO POINTER ARITHMETIC!!!









Resource Management - Ownership

- Core Guidelines: Use raw pointers only to denote non-owning single object pointers
 - no array, no ownership, can be nullptr. We suggest using borrower<T> for that to enable code base r
- **Principle:** manage memory with smart pointers or mark "owning" pointers
 - a "naked" raw pointer never owns the memory -> no delete p if p is a naked pointer
- template <typename T> using owner=T; // in gsl
 - semantic-free syntactic marker, requires dedicated static analysis tool
 - missing: observer<T>/borrower<T> to mark legally "naked" pointers as well during transition
- template <typename T> using borrower=T; // in our gsl extension for code migration
 - standard will provide observer ptr<T> with a bit more semantics (Library Fundamentals TS v2).
- Future Cevelop Releases should include more pointer modernization refactorings
 - currently char* to std::string, plain arrays to std::array<>

	Problems				
	type filter text				
	Name				
	U Using Problem				
	C++11 code enhancements				
	NULL macro for nullptr replacement				
nigration	Replaceable default constructor calls				
	Typedef replaceable with alias declarations				
	Un- or ill-initialized variables				
	Char Wars Problems				
	Use different overload that can take std::string directly.				
	Use reference parameters instead of pointer parameters.				
	Use std::array instead of C-Array.				
	Use std::string instead of C-Strings.				
	Use std::string member functions instead of <cstring> functions.</cstring>				
	Use std::string parameter instead of C-String parameter.				
	Use std::string::size_type instead of C-String alias.				

Code Analysis





A comment on Ownership, esp. owner<T*>

- gsl::owner<T> is a transient interim solution, better use RAII
 - owner<T> marks self-managed resources that require a destructor
- C++20 will contain std::unique resource<> for non-pointer resources RAII
- - std::unique ptr<char *,decltype(&::free)> needs to store &free per pointer
 - This should go into GSL as well...
 - POSIX will be around for some time...
- I came up with it 25.04.2017,
 - when preparing the slides :-)

I should propose a specialization of std::unique_ptr that works for C-pointers without overhead

```
template <typename T>
struct default_free{
  void operator()(T *p) const {
     ::free(const_cast<std::remove_const_t<T>*>(p));
};
template <typename T>
using unique_C_ptr=std::unique_ptr<T,default_C_freer<T>>;
static_assert(sizeof(char *)==
              sizeof(unique_C_ptr<char>),"");
```





C++ Core Guidelines: Interfaces

- Explicit Interfaces
- No global variables
- No singletons
- Precise and strongly typed interfaces
- Preconditions & Postconditions
- (gsl: Expects(cond) and Ensures(cond))
- state template parameters with concepts
- //requires until compilers can do
- Use exceptions for signaling failure
- No ownership transfer via raw T*
- non-nullable pointers with gsl::not_null<T>
 - better consider using references
- no array decay on interfaces

- no complex global initialization at run-time
- stick to only few parameters per function
- no unrelated parameters of same type
 - doit(bool,bool,bool) is very bad!
- abstract classes as interfaces to hierarchies
- cross-compiler ABI should stick to C-style
 - see last week Hourglass Interfaces





Function rules

Function definition rules:

- F.1: "Package" meaningful operations as carefully named functions
- F.2: A function should perform a single logical operation
- F.3: Keep functions short and simple
- F.4: If a function may have to be evaluated at compile time, declare it constexpr
- F.5: If a function is very small and time-critical, declare it inline
- F.6: If your function may not throw, declare it noexcept
- F.7: For general use, take T* or T& arguments rather than smart pointers
- F.8: Prefer pure functions
- Parameter passing expression rules:
 - F.15: Prefer simple and conventional ways of passing information
 - F.16: For "in" parameters, pass cheaply-copied types by value and others by reference to const
 - F.17: For "in-out" parameters, pass by reference to non-const

- F.18: For "consume" parameters, pass by X&& and std::move the parameter
- F.19: For "forward" parameters, pass by TP&& and only std::forward the parameter
- F.20: For "out" output values, prefer return values to output parameters
- F.21: To return multiple "out" values, prefer returning a tuple or struct
- F.60: Prefer T* over T& when "no argument" is a valid option

Parameter passing semantic rules:

- F.22: Use T* or owner<T*> or a smart pointer to designate a single object
- F.23: Use a not_null<T> to indicate "null" is not a valid value
- F.24: Use a span<T> or a span_p<T> to designate a half-open sequence
- F.25: Use a zstring or a not null<zstring> to designate a C-style string
- F.26: Use a unique ptr<T> to transfer ownership where a pointer is needed
- F.27: Use a shared ptr<T> to share ownership

- Value return semantic rules:
 - F.42: Return a T* to indicate a position (only)
- F.43: Never (directly or indirectly) return a pointer to a local object
- F.44: Return a T& when copy is undesirable and "returning no object" isn't an option
- F.45: Don't return a T&&
- F.46: int is the return type for main()
- F.47: Return T& from assignment operators.

Other function rules:

- F.50: Use a lambda when a function won't do (to capture local variables, or to write a local function)
- F.51: Where there is a choice, prefer default arguments over overloading
- F.52: Prefer capturing by reference in lambdas that will be used locally, including passed to algorithms
- F.53: Avoid capturing by reference in lambdas that will be used nonlocally, including returned, stored on the heap, or passed to another thread
- F.54: If you capture this, capture all variables explicitly (no default capture)











Classes and Hierarchies (I am not satisfied with all of those)

- C.1: Organize related data into structures (structs or classes)
- C.2: Use class if the class has an invariant; use struct if the data members can vary independently
- C.3: Represent the distinction between an interface and an implementation using a class
- C.4: Make a function a member only if it needs direct access to the representation of a class
- C.5: Place helper functions in the same namespace as the class they support

- C.7: Don't define a class or enum and declare a variable of its type in the same statement
- C.8: use class rather that struct if any member is non-public
- C.9: minimize exposure of members





~final_act()

Should be declared Concept

Subsections:

- C.concrete: Concrete types
- C.ctor: Constructors, assignments, and destructors
- C.con: Containers and other resource handles
- C.lambdas: Function objects and lambdas
- C.hier: Class hierarchies (OOP)
- C.over: Overloading and overloaded operators
- C.union: Unions

not_null(T_t) : ptr_(t) { ensure_invariant(); }

C.46: Single-argument constructor should be declared explicit

ors er



Default class operations rules (includes Rule of Zero)

Set of default operations rules

- C.20: If you can avoid defining any default operations, do
- C.21: If you define or =delete any default operation, define or =delete them all
- C.22: Make default operations consistent

Destructor rules:

- C.30: Define a destructor if a class needs an explicit action at object destruction
- C.31: All resources acquired by a class must be released by the class's destructor
- C.32: If a class has a raw pointer (T*) or reference (T&), consider whether it might be owning
- C.33: If a class has an owning pointer member, define or =delete a destructor
- C.34: If a class has an owning reference member, define or =delete a destructor
- C.35: A base class with a virtual function needs a virtual destructor
- C.36: A destructor may not fail
- C.37: Make destructors noexcept
- Constructor rules:
 - C.40: Define a constructor if a class has an invariant
 - C.41: A constructor should create a fully initialized object

- C.42: If a constructor cannot construct a valid object, throw an exception
- C.43: Ensure that a class has a default constructor C.44: Prefer default constructors to be simple and non-
- throwing
- C.45: Don't define a default constructor that only initializes data members; use member initializers instead
- C.46: By default, declare single-argument constructors explicit
- C.47: Define and initialize member variables in the order of member declaration
- C.48: Prefer in-class initializers to member initializers in constructors for constant initializers
- C.49: Prefer initialization to assignment in constructors
- C.50: Use a factory function if you need "virtual behavior" during initialization
- C.51: Use delegating constructors to represent common actions for all constructors of a class
- C.52: Use inheriting constructors to import constructors into a derived class that does not need further explicit initialization
- Copy and move rules:
 - C.60: Make copy assignment non-virtual, take the parameter by const&, and return by non-const&
- C.61: A copy operation should copy

- C.62: Make copy assignment safe for self-assignment
- C.63: Make move assignment non-virtual, take the parameter by &&, and return by non-const&
- C.64: A move operation should move and leave its source in a valid state
- C.65: Make move assignment safe for self-assignment
- C.66: Make move operations noexcept
- C.67: A base class should suppress copying, and provide a virtual clone instead if "copying" is desired
- Other default operations rules:
 - C.80: Use =default if you have to be explicit about using the default semantics
 - C.81: Use =delete when you want to disable default behavior (without wanting an alternative)
- C.82: Don't call virtual functions in constructors and destructors
- C.83: For value-like types, consider providing a noexcept swap function
- C.84: A swap may not fail
- C.85: Make swap noexcept
- C.86: Make == symmetric with respect of operand types and noexcept
- C.87: Beware of == on base classes
- C.89: Make a hash noexcept



















Resource Management ~> use smart pointers

Resource management rule summary:

- R.1: Manage resources automatically using resource handles and RAII (Resource Acquisition Is Initialization)
- R.2: In interfaces, use raw pointers to denote individual objects (only)
- R.3: A raw pointer (a T*) is non-owning
- R.4: A raw reference (a T&) is non-owning
- R.5: Prefer scoped objects
- R.6: Avoid non-const global variables
- Allocation and deallocation rule summary:
 - R.10: Avoid malloc() and free()
 - R.11: Avoid calling new and delete explicitly
 - R.12: Immediately give the result of an explicit resource allocation to a manager object

- R.13: Perform at most one explicit resource allocation in a single expression statement
- R.14: ??? array vs. pointer parameter
- R.15: Always overload matched allocation/ deallocation pairs

Smart pointer rule summary:

- R.20: Use unique_ptr or shared_ptr to represent ownership
- R.21: Prefer unique_ptr over shared_ptr unless you need to share ownership
- R.22: Use make_shared() to make shared_ptrs
- R.23: Use make_unique() to make unique_ptrs
- R.24: Use std::weak_ptr to break cycles of shared_ptrs
- R.30: Take smart pointers as parameters only to explicitly express lifetime semantics

- R.31: If you have non-std smart pointers, follow the basic pattern from std
- R.32: Take a unique_ptr<widget> parameter to express that a function assumes ownership of a widget
- R.33: Take a unique ptr<widget>& parameter to express that a function reseats the widget
- R.34: Take a shared ptr<widget> parameter to express that a function is part owner
- R.35: Take a shared ptr<widget>& parameter to express that a function might reseat the shared pointer
- *R.36: Take a const shared_ptr<widget>&* parameter to express that it might retain a reference count to the object ???
- R.37: Do not pass a pointer or reference obtained from an aliased smart pointer





















Naming and Layout

- NL 1: Don't say in comments what can be clearly stated in code
- NL.2: State intent in comments
- NL.3: Keep comments crisp
- NL.4: Maintain a consistent indentation style
- NL.5: Don't encode type information in names (aka Hungarian Notation)
- NL.7: Make the length of a name roughly proportional to the length of its scope
- NL.8: Use a consistent naming style
- NL 9: Use ALL_CAPS for macro names only
- NL.10: Avoid CamelCase
- NL.15: Use spaces sparingly
- NL.16: Use a conventional class member declaration order
- NL.17: Use K&R-derived layout
- NL.18: Use C++-style declarator layout
- NL.25: Don't use void as an argument type

// OTCTTT // better use better names, IMHO // read: no comments needed! // using an IDE makes that automatic // thank you MS double foo(int x) if (0 < x) { // get rid of them with MACRONATOR T& operator[](size_t); // OK T &operator[](size_t); // just strange T & operator[](size_t); // undecided void f(void); // bad void g(); // better



Constant rule summary:

- Con.1: By default, make objects immutable
- Con.2: By default, make member functions const
- Con.3: By default, pass pointers and references to consts
- Con.4: Use const to define objects with values that do not change after construction
- Con.5: Use constexpr for values that can be computed at compile time
- Con.1-4 are already enforced by Constificator in Cevelop
 - thanks to Felix Morgner, Benjamin Gächter and Mario Meili

Problem description: Const-qualification could be added.



Pointer:

- owner<T*>
- not_null<T*>

Contracts (temporarily as macros): (contracts might become a standard feature)

- Expects(cond) precondition(s)
- Ensures(cond) postcondition

Util (bad name :-)

- protection against narrowing errors: narrow<int>(0xffffU)

span/string_span (almost like string_view (17), but read/write instead of read only, will be in C++20)

- aka array_view (not std) and string_view (in C++17), but different and writable
- goal: get rid of plain pointers representing arrays and strings (char*) array decays to pointer as argument
- string_span types for char, wchar_t, and const versions, not others (yet)

work in progress, not finished

scope guard with the factory function finally(FUNC) - hopefully replaced by std:: mechanism in C++20

at(array,index) as free function with out of bounds guard (for std::array, plain arrays and containers with index op)







Nan	ne	Severity
	Constificator Problems	
v	Missing const-qualification	\land Warning
	Possibly missing const-qualification	🐗 Info
٠	Core Guideline Problems	
	C.164: Avoid conversion operators	🐗 Warning
	C.20: Avoid redundant default operations	🐗 Warning
•	C.21: Missing special member functions	🐗 Warning
	C.31: Destructor has no body	🐗 Warning
	C.31: Destructor needed because of owner in member variables	🐗 Warning
	C.31: Missing delete statements of owning member variables	🐗 Warning
•	C.35: A base class destructor should be either public and virtual, or pr	🐗 Warning
•	C.37: Destructor should be declared noexcept	🐗 Warning
	C.44: Constructor should be declared noexcept	🐗 Warning
	C.45: Default constructor shouldn't only initialize data members	🐗 Warning
	C.45: Default constructor shouldn't only initialize data members	🐗 Warning
	C.46: Single-argument constructor should be declared explicit	🐗 Warning
	C.47: Member variables should be initialized in the same order as they	🐗 Warning
	C.48: Prefer in-class initializers to member initializers in constructors	🐗 Warning
	C.49: Prefer initialization to assignment in constructors	🐗 Warning
	C.60: Copy assignment should be non-virtual	🐗 Warning
	C.60: Parameter should be taken by const&	🐗 Warning
	C.60: Return parameter should be non-const&	🐗 Warning
	C.63: Move assignment should be non-virtual	🐗 Warning
	C.63: Return parameter should be non-const&	🐗 Warning
	C.66: Move operations should be declared noexcept	🐗 Warning
	C.83: Generate swap function	🐗 Warning
	C.83: Swap Function Parameter has to be Reference	Warning
•	C.84 Make Swap noexcept	Warning
•	C.85 If a user defined swap member function is used, namespace-leve	Warning

rning ES.26: Don't use a variable for two unrelated purposes rning ES.46: Avoid Floating Point to Integer conversions \checkmark rning ES.46: Avoid Floating Point to Integer Function Argument conversions \checkmark rning ES.46: Avoid Integer (< long) to Char conversions rning ES.46: Avoid Integer (< long) to Char Function Argument conversions rning ES.46: Avoid Integer (>= long) to Char conversions \checkmark ES.46: Avoid Integer (>= long) to Char Function Argument conversions rning \checkmark rning ES.46: Avoid lossy Floating Point conversions rning ES.46: Avoid lossy Floating Point Function Argument conversions rning ES.46: Avoid narrowing Integer/Char conversions rning ES.46: Avoid narrowing Integer/Char Function Argument conversions rning ES.46: Avoid signed to unsigned conversions rning ES.46: Avoid signed to unsigned Function Argument conversions rning ES.49 If you must use a cast, use a named cast \checkmark rning ES.49 If you must use a cast, use a named cast (at macro definition) \checkmark rning Es.74: Declare a variable in the for-loop initialization \checkmark rning Es.74: Declare a variable in the for-loop initialization \checkmark rning ES.75: Avoid do statements \checkmark rning ES.75: Avoid do statements (at macro definition) \checkmark rning ES.76: Avoid goto \checkmark ES.75: Avoid do-statements (at macro definition) rning ES.76: Avoid goto, use break \checkmark Sample Message: ES.76: Avoid goto, use if rning ES.75: Avoid do statements (at macro definition) \checkmark rning ES.76: Avoid goto, use lambda and return \checkmark ES.76: Avoid goto, use return rning \checkmark ES.76: Avoid goto, use while loop rning



Other support related to C++ Core Guidelines and modernizing Code bases

- "Elevator" C++11 updates for your code
- "CharWars" substitute C-strings with std::st
 - and plain arrays with std::array
- "Macronator" eliminate macros
- "IntWidthFixator" Define fixed width integral
- Refactorings

Refactor	Search	Source
Elevate F	Project	
Rename.		
Extract 1 Inline typ	Femplate De alias	
Convert Extract N	typedef to Namespac	o alias e Using
Extract l Inline Us	Jsing Nam ing	iespace
Qualify L Extract t	Jnqualifie o New He	d Name ader Fil
Extract I Extract L	nterface .ocal Varia	able
Extract (Extract F	Constant Function	•
Expand I	Macro	

	Name	Sev
	C++11 code enhancements	
	NULL macro for nullptr replacement	ų.
	Replaceable default constructor calls	- the
-	Typedef replaceable with alias declarations	tá:
ring	Un- or ill-initialized variables	tá:
U	Char Wars Problems	
	Use different overload that can take std::string directly.	ų.
	Use reference parameters instead of pointer parameters.	ų.
	Use std::array instead of C-Array.	- Qr
	Use std::string instead of C-Strings.	- tr
	Use std::string member functions instead of <cstring> functions.</cstring>	- the second sec
	Use std::string parameter instead of C-String parameter.	- the second sec
types	Use std::string::size_type instead of C-String alias.	- tác
e Navigate Proje	Coding Style	
	Obsolete function-like macro Obsolete shiest like macro	24
	Obsolete object-like macro	24
	Unused Macro	×4
	Intwidthfixator Problems	
	Cast expressions	1
	Function return types and parameters	2
	Template arguments	2
Declaration	Typedefs and usings	2
Directive	Variable declarations	2
Directive		
e 、C 企 P		
\\ ₩L		
27		
20		









Wrap up

C++ Core guidelines can help migrating older C++ to more modern style

- but they are not done (and in some areas progress is slow after initial effort)
- assume support with static analysis (Microsoft employs clang-analyze to achieve that in demos)
- Cevelop tries to provide migration checkers, quick-fixes and refactorings -> you can help!

Take the guidelines and the GSL with a "grain of salt"

- some areas are still preliminary
- some guidelines could be disputed
- some curation and editing is required, today mostly just a collection
- some rules are really old stuff (you already follow them, may be even unconsciously)
- some GSL mechanism could be obsoleted by the C++ standard (but GSL is here today)
- VS 2017 and Cevelop provide checkers (and some quick-fixes) for some of the guidelines





© Peter Sommerlad



Questions?

peter.sommerlad@hsr.ch @PeterSommerlad

© Peter Sommerlad

By the way, thanks for the great piece of software! This is by far the best free IDE for C/C++ so far after trying basically all the free C/C++ IDE. motowizlee on github 27.04.2017

Sponsors welcome!

> Commercial licensing possible!

