



raphael.meyer Obbv. ch bbv



















Continuous Integration is not about a tool.

It's the practice of frequently publishing your changes to a shared repository.

The tools that are associated with Continuous Integration do the verification of each integration to detect errors as quickly as possible.













![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Acceptance Tests

«Typically **business analysts** write the "happy path" versions of the tests, because those tests describe the features that have business value.

**QA** typically writes the "unhappy path" tests, the boundary conditions, exceptions, and corner cases. This is because QA's job is to help think about what can go wrong.»

Robert Martin, The Clean Coder

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

TDD does not always work. For example at the physical boundaries of the system, TDD (or automated tests at all) may be impractical or inappropriate.

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Run tests

... on host,

and use tests doubles for hardware interaction.

- Use for test-driving

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Run tests

- ...eval board, target hardware, and use tests doubles for hardware interaction.
  - Compiler compatibility check

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Run tests

... on eval board, target hardware, and and interact with actual hardware.

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

- Playground for exploring hardware
- Suitable for peripherals with no external input, e.g. RTC, EEPROM
- Extendable by adding loopbacks, e.g. GPIO
- Extendable by adding hardware for inputs, e.g. ADC

# Run tests

... on **host**, interacting with "public" interfaces of **eval board**, **target hardware**.

- Acceptance tests, system tests, integration tests etc.
- Tests on host may use different language.
- Requires the product to have accessible interfaces, e.g. network connectivity ...
- ... or custom hardware to interact with peripherals.
- Possibly replace peripherals with adapters connected to host.

![](_page_12_Picture_18.jpeg)

## Run tests

... in emulator,

and use tests doubles for hardware interaction.

- Sometimes emulator already available, e.g. QEMU in Yocto.

![](_page_12_Picture_23.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_24.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_25.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $\bigcirc$ raphael.meyer@bbv.ch PC

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Growing Object-Oriented Software, Guided by Tests Steve Freeman, Nat Pryce
- Test-Driven Development for Embedded C James W. Grenning
- Modern C++ Programming with Test-Driven Development Jeff Langr
- The Clean Coder Robert C. Martin
- The Nature of Software Development Ron Jeffries
- BDD in Action John Ferguson Smart
- The Pragmatic Programmer Andrew Hunt, David Thomas

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)