Leaving The Dark Side

A story with code about 5 years learning

- Developing a C++ Based Medical Device, Successful Again -

Prepared for the ACCU 2016 by Felix Petriconi

About me



Study of electrical engineering

Since 1993 working as a programmer

- At the university (Turbo Pascal, Ada, C++)
- Education of high gifted children (PovRay, Pascal, C++)
- 7 years as freelancer (C/C++, Perl)
- Since 2003 employed as programmer by MeVis Medical Solutions AG, Bremen, Germany (C++, x86 Assembler, Ruby)

About the team today

Department size: 34

3 Product Owner

4 SCRUM Teams

- 4-5 Developers
- 1 Test Engineer
- 1 Requirement/Usability Engineer
- 1/2 SCRUM Master
- 1 Test-Lab Team
 - 5 Test Engineers

About our product

Reviewing workstation for mammography images

- Manufactured for a single OEM customer Medical device => regulated environment
- In the market since 2002
- About 7000 installations world wide
- Market share in that segment > 50%

Our product



About the application

Deployed as standalone / client-server OS: Windows 7 / Server 2008 R2 C++ / Qt application 2 million lines of code

About the technical challenges

Huge variety of hospital setups

Radiologists must be able to read about 120 patients / h

- Up to 4 GB uncompressed pixel data for a single patient Up to 400 patients per day
- 8-16 bit grayscale images (16 800MB) on 2 * 5MP 10 bit grayscale displays
- Of the shelve workstations (no special HW possible)

Server with up to 24 clients

Each case change, image change < 1s on every client

About our problem



(c) Felix Petriconi 2016

About our problem



© Felix Petriconi 2016

Some reasons

At 2011 about 10,000 requirements in a requirement management tool

- Each requirement had to be traced to a test case
- Only paper scripts existed to test the application Each release test phase took up to 8-12 weeks
- High number of bugs
- Lack of training of the team

Our way out

Use people for intelligent work

Let machines perform dumb work

- Change the development process from Waterfall to SCRUM (Problem: All regulatory documents are written with Waterfall process in mind)
- Invest in engineering education
- Invest in test automation

Engineering education

Developer training with educational videos

Creating a library of books

Regular conference visits for software engineering

Introduction of Community of Practice every week

– Regular Dev-Talks

ISTQB Training

- Base for all team members
- Advanced for all test engineers

Process investments

Buy in of our customer and upper management Introduction of SCRUM (started self educated) External SCRUM coaching over several weeks Introducing MeVis 10% ("Crazy Fridays") Introducing of "Continuous Integration" External SCRUM coaching follow-up after one year

New test strategy evolved

Less manual functional tests

- Primary focus now on exploratory tests
- UnitTests

Automated UI tests

Behaviour tests _____ NEW

Behaviour tests

End to End Tests Don't test through the UI

Where to inject behaviour tests?



Behaviour tests

Started with Specification by Example with Cucumber

Given the login dialog is visible
When a registered user provides
username and password
Then the user is logged in
And the administration module is
available

Which Cucumber binding?

cucumber

Native C++ binding (cukebins) could not be used, because our application runs with multiple processes on multiple machines

- \Rightarrow Nothing out of the box was available
- \Rightarrow Customization necessary
- ⇒ Cucumber with Ruby binding was the natural choice

Behaviour tests with Cucumber?

Started very promising

- But the tool Cucumber was not capable of handling nested contexts inside a test
- Required intensive collaboration with Product Owner Examples were too complicated and could not serve as a specification because of the complexity of the domain
- ⇒New approach with **RSpec** (Predecessor of Cucumber)⇒The remaining test infrastructure could be the same



Let's write a simple test



Feaze the Ruby part ...

- For each process a representative Ruby object exists
- Ruby's method_missing feature is used to "generate" methods on the fly. So there is no need to specify all possible test methods manually (more code in the bonus slides)

XMLRPC protocol

RPC name

Process name <methodCall> <methodName>rspeccommand</methodName> <params></params> <param><value><string>ADMISTRATION</string></value></param> <param><value><string>login</string></value></param> <param><value><i4>60</i4></value> <param><value> Test method name <array><data> in the process <value><string>**user1**</string> value> <value><string>**password4user1**</str> </data></array> </value></param> Command timeout /s </params> </methodCall> Array with all method

parameters

RSpecCenter



Any nested combination of these types is possible



Each process has a RSpecInterface instance

It registers for a dedicated IPC callback

Starts to parse the binary stream and extracts method name

Lookup of registered test method

Calls method with remaining in-stream (Source) and returns new values in out-stream (Sink)

Application test interface

```
class AdminstrationInterface
{
public:
  void userLogin(const std::string& userName,
                 const std::string& password);
  void logout();
  CommandResult waitUntilLoginIsVisible();
  CommandResult waitUntilAdministrationIsVisible();
  static AdministrationInterface s_interface;
};
```

Execution chain inside application



```
Glue code
```

```
// defining the test function
// registering the function and its name with a registrar
CommandRegistrar(login, "login");
// implementation of the test function
void login(const Source& source, Sink& sink)
 auto userName = createFromSource<std::string>(source);
 auto password = createFromSource<std::string>(source);
 s_interface.userLogin(userName, password);
}
```

At the beginning written by hand, later created within the build process by a code generator

When to proceed?

Many things in the application happen asynchronously



Add sleep call into the test script



Callback from the application into the test could be an option, but would make the application depend on the test

RSpecInterface polls with short interval (50ms) until a certain condition is reached or the command timed out

Synchronous command



Asynchronous command



Test functions



```
enum class CommandResult
{
   Success, // when the condition is fulfilled
   Failed, // when the condition cannot be fulfilled (anymore)
   Pending // when the condition is not yet fulfilled
};
```

Asynchronous test function

```
CommandResult
```

AdminstrationInterface::waitUntilAdministrationIsVisible()

```
{
    if (administrationModule().isVisible())
    {
        return CommandResult::Success;
    }
    return CommandResult::Pending;
}
```

Scoped test contexts in RSpec



"RAII" within RSpec

```
require 'cleaner'
require 'rspec'
module RSpec
 module Core
    class ExampleGroup
      class << self
        alias old_set_it_up set_it_
        def set_it_up(*args)
          old_set_it_up(*arg
          hooks.register(:append, :before, :all)
            { cleaner.set mark }
          hooks.register(:append, :after, :all)
            { cleaner.clean_up_till_last_mark }
        end
      end
    end
  end
end
```

Register 'cleaner' in RSpec hooks

The 'cleaner' implements a stack that can get execution blocks pushed at and those are separated per context with a special marker

Scope function example

def login_scoped(user, password) do
 administration.login("user1", "password4user1")
 cleaner.push_action({administration.logout()})
end

Execution block that shall be used for unwinding the previous command while leaving the current context
Helpful additions

Log the complete I/O stream of the RSpecCenter Log inside RSpecCenter execution time per command and generate statistics at the end of each test to find potential bottle-necks

Nice side effect

The application was stressed in a way that it was never done before

=> Many race conditions were identified and could be fixed

Results of quality improvements





Current test status

- UnitTests are integrated into the build process (A failing UnitTest results in a failing library build)We just write UnitTests any more for generic code. No Business rules are checked with
 - UnitTests but with behaviour tests.
- Complete continual test suite run takes 3h
- Release test cycle takes 2 weeks (main focus is now on regulatory required and exploratory tests)

Overall lessons learned

Agile development is possible in a regulated environment

- Train the whole team
- Empower the team
- Responsibility lies on everyone

It is possible to turn around a huge legacy code base

Practical lessons learned

Fix failing tests fast

Refactor not only production code, refactor tests code with the same passion

Test code <u>IS</u> production code

Acknowledgements

- The presented work is the result of our whole team
- Special thanks for support with the statistics goes to Christian Beck and Thomas Koschel

Reference

- <u>Why Most UnitTesting is Waste</u> and <u>Segue</u> by James O. Coplien, 2014
- <u>Effective Programming with Components</u> Screen casts by Alexander Stepanov
- <u>Clean Coders</u> Screen casts by Robert C. Martin
- Continuous Delivery; Jez Humble & David Farley; Addison Wesley, 2010
- Continuous Integration; Stephen M. Matyas, Nicholas Schneider, Mark Voit & Paul Duvall; Addison Wesley, 2007
- <u>Cucumber</u>
- <u>RSpec</u>
- <u>GoogleTest</u>

Feedback is always welcome!

Thank's for your attention!



Felix Petriconi MeVis Medical Solutions AG Caroline-Herschel-Str. 1 28359 Bremen Germany eMail: <u>felix.petriconi@mevis.de</u> Twitter: @FelixPetriconi GitHub: https://github.com/FelixPetriconi

```
module SCR
 # This exception is thrown whenever a timeout occurred in the
  # RSpecCenter
 class TimeoutException < StandardError
  end
  # This exception is thrown whenever an error happens inside the
  # SCR application code
  class CommandFailedException < StandardError</pre>
  end
  # This exception is thrown whenever a command was tried to
  # execute that does not exist on SCR side
  class CommandNotFoundException < StandardError</pre>
  end
  # This class writes anything which is written to IO (like
  # stderr) to a given logger
  class IOToLog < IO
    def initialize(logger)
      @logger = logger
    end
    def write(text)
      #assume anything written to stderr is an error
      @logger.debug(text)
    end
  end
 class Interface
    def initialize(url)
      @server = XMLRPC::Client.new2(url)
      client_log = Logger.new("XmlRpcClient.log")
      @server.set_debug(IOToLog.new(client_log))
      @server.timeout=60*60*24
    end
    def split_timeout_from_args(args)
      ipc timeout = 60
      args.each do |element|
        if element.is_a?(Hash) && element.has_key?(:ipc_timeout)
          ipc_timeout = element[:ipc_timeout]
          args.delete element
        end
      end
      ipc_timeout
    end
```

```
def command(process, command, *args)
  ipc timeout = split timeout from args *args
  xml result = @server.call("scrcukecommand", process,
    command, ipc timeout, *args)
  command result = xml result[0]
  xml_result.delete_at(0)
  # These are the possible result values from the RSpecCenter
  #enum CommandResultEnum
  # {
  # CR_SUCCESS,
  # CR_FAILED,
  # CR PENDING,
  # CR TIMED OUT,
  # CR_NO_COMMAND
  #};
  if command result == 3
    raise TimeoutException, "The remote IPC command
      (#{command}) timer of #{ipc_timeout}s elapsed.", caller[0]
 end
 if command_result == 4
    raise CommandNotFoundException, "The remote IPC command
      (#{command}) was not found.", caller[0]
 end
  if command result != 0
    raise CommandFailedException, "The remote IPC command
      #{command} failed: #{xml_result.first}", caller[0]
  end
 xml result
end
```

```
@@rpc locator = nil
 def rpcLocator
   if @@rpc_locator.nil?
      @@rpc_locator = RPCLocator.new
      @@rpc locator.interface =
     Interface.new("http://127.0.0.1:65501")
      @@rpc_locator.interface.reset_rpec_center
    end
   @@rpc_locator
 end
 module_function :rpcLocator
 def administration
   @@administration ||= SCR::Application.new('ADMINISTRATION',
     rpcLocator)
 end
 module_function :administration
 # This class implements the dependency injection pattern for
 # the XMLRPC interface
 class RPCLocator
    attr_accessor :interface
 end
 # Each process that shall be used inside a
 # RSpec test must have an instance of this class
 # All methods to be called into the process are
 # realized through method_missing.
 class Application
   attr_reader :name
   def initialize(ipc_module_name, locator)
      @name = ipc_module_name
      @rpc_locator = locator
    end
   def method_missing(sym, *args, &block)
      @rpc_locator.interface.command(@name, "#{sym}", args)
    end
 end
end
```