

## **Indexed Programming**

#### Jeremy Gibbons



#### **1. Collections**

```
public interface List {
    void add (int index, Object element);
    boolean contains (Object o);
    Object get (int index);
    int size ();
}
```

Loss of precision:

List l = new ArrayList (); // ... which implements ListString <math>s = "abc"; l.add (0, s); // upcasting when inserting $<math>s = (String) \ l.get (0); // downcasting when retrieving$ 

#### 2. Generics

```
public interface List(E) {
    void add (int index, E element);
    boolean contains (Object o);
    E get (int index);
    int size ();
}
```

More precise code:

```
List (String) l = new ArrayList (String)();
String s = "abc";
l.add (0, s); // no upcasting or...
s = l.get (0); // ...downcasting needed
```

This is called *parametric polymorphism*.

#### **3. Algebraic datatypes**



#### 3.1. Expression datatype in Java

```
public abstract class Expr {
  public abstract Object eval ();
public class Num extends Expr {
  private Integer n;
  public Num (Integer n) { this.n = n; }
  public Object eval (){return n; }
public class Add extends Expr {
  private Expr x, y;
 public Add (Expr x, Expr y) {
    this.x = x; this.y = y;
  public Object eval () {
    return (Integer) (x.eval ()) + (Integer) (y.eval ());
public class Bool extends Expr {
  private Boolean b;
  public Bool (Boolean b) { this. b = b; }
 public Object eval () { return b; }
```

```
public class IsZero extends Expr {
    private Expr e;
    public IsZero (Expr e) { this.e = e; }
    public Object eval () {
        return new Boolean ((Integer) (e.eval ()) == 0);
    }
```

```
public class If extends Expr {
    private Expr b;
    private Expr t, e;
    public If (Expr b, Expr t, Expr e) {
        this.b = b; this.t = t; this.e = e;
    }
    public Object eval () {
        if (((Boolean) b.eval ()).booleanValue ())
            return t.eval ();
        else
            return e.eval ();
    }
}
```

#### **3.2. Expression datatype in Haskell**

#### data *Expr* :: \* where

 $N :: Int \rightarrow$ Expr  $B :: Bool \rightarrow$ Expr  $Add :: Expr \rightarrow Expr \rightarrow$ Expr IsZ ::  $Expr \rightarrow$ Expr If  $:: Expr \rightarrow Expr \rightarrow Expr \rightarrow Expr$ **data** *Result* = *NR Int* | *BR Bool*  $eval :: Expr \rightarrow Result$ eval(N n) = NR neval(Bb) = BRb $eval (Add x y) = case (eval x, eval y) of (NR m, NR n) \rightarrow NR (m + n)$ *eval* (*IsZ x*) = case (*eval x*) of *NR*  $n \rightarrow NB$  (0  $\equiv n$ )  $eval (If x y z) = case (eval x) of NB b \rightarrow if b then eval y else eval z$ 

### 4. Indexing

Loss of precision again: expressions of different 'types'.

Note the explicit tagging and untagging in Haskell, and the casts in Java. (And the lack of error-checking for ill-formed expressions!)

Can we capture the precise constraints in code, and exploit them?

#### 4.1. Indexed datatypes

Parametrise the datatype (where parameter expresses represented type):

data $Expr :: * \rightarrow *$ where		
N	:: <i>Int</i> →	Expr Int
В	$:: Bool \rightarrow$	Expr Bool
Add	$:: Expr Int \rightarrow Expr Int \rightarrow$	Expr Int
IsZ	:: Expr Int →	Expr Bool
If	:: Expr Bool $\rightarrow$ Expr $a \rightarrow$ Expr $a \rightarrow$	Expr a

Note that the parameter denotes a *phantom type*: a value of type *Expr a* need not contain elements of type *a*.

#### 4.2. Indexed programming

Specialised return types of constructors induce type constraints, which are exploited in type-checking definitions.

 $eval :: Expr a \rightarrow a$  eval (N n) = n eval (B b) = b eval (Add x y) = eval x + eval y  $eval (IsZ x) = 0 \equiv eval x$ eval (If x y z) = if eval x then eval y else eval z

Note that all the tagging and untagging has gone, and with it the possibility of run-time errors.

By explicitly stating a property formerly implicit in the code, we have gained both in safety and in efficiency.

#### 4.3. Indexed programming in Java

It can be done with Java (or C#) generics, but it's not so pretty:

```
public class If (T) extends Expr(T) {
    private Expr(Boolean) b;
    private Expr(T) t, e;
    public If (Expr(Boolean) b, Expr(T) t, Expr(T) e) {
        this.b = b; this.t = t; this.e = e;
    }
    public T eval () {
        if (b.eval ().booleanValue ()) return t.eval (); else return e.eval ();
    }
}
```

(Actually, not quite all the checking can be done at compile-time; sometimes some casts are still necessary.)

# 5. Other applications

Indexing by:

size: eg bounded vectors

shape: eg red-black trees

state: eg locking of resources

unit: eg physical dimensions

type: eg datatype-generic programming

**proof:** eg web applets

# 6. Application: indexing by size

Empty datatypes as indices (so S(SZ)) is a type).

data Z data S n

Size-indexed type of vectors:

**data** Vector ::  $* \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$  where *VNil* :: *Vector a Z VCons* ::  $a \rightarrow$  Vector  $a n \rightarrow$  Vector a (S n)

Size constraint on *vzip* is captured in the type:

vzip :: Vector  $a n \rightarrow Vector b n \rightarrow Vector (a, b) n$ vzip VNil VNil= VNilvzip (VCons a x) (VCons b y) = VCons (a, b) (vzip x y)

### 7. Application: indexing by shape

*2-3-4 trees* are perfectly-balanced search trees.

Representable as *red-black trees* — binary search trees in which:

- every node is coloured either red or black
- every red node has a black parent
- every path from the root to a leaf contains the same number of black nodes (enforcing approximate balance)





#### In *RBTree a c n*,

- *a* is the element type;
- *c* is the root colour;
- *n* is the black height.

data R

data B

```
data RBTree :: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow * \Rightarrow * where

Empty :: RBTree a B n \rightarrow a \rightarrow RBTree a B n \rightarrow RBTree a B n \rightarrow RBTree a R n

Black :: RBTree a c n \rightarrow a \rightarrow RBTree a c' n \rightarrow RBTree a B (S n)
```

# 8. Application: indexing by state

The 'ketchup problem':



# 9. Application: indexing by unit

Suppose dimensions of non-negative powers of metres and seconds:

data  $Dim :: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$  where  $D :: Float \rightarrow Dim m s$  distance :: Dim (S Z) Z distance = D 3.0 time :: Dim Z (S Z)time = D 2.0

A dimensioned value is a *Float* with two type-level tags.

 $dadd :: Dim m s \rightarrow Dim m s \rightarrow Dim m s$ dadd (D x) (D y) = D (x + y)

Now *dadd time time* is well-typed, but *dadd distance time* is ill-typed. (More interesting to allow negative powers too, but for brevity...)

#### **9.1. Type-level functions**

Proofs of properties about indices:

data  $Add :: * \rightarrow * \rightarrow * \rightarrow *$  where  $AddZ :: \qquad Add Z n n$  $AddS :: Add m n p \rightarrow Add (S m) n (S p)$ 

Used to constrain the type of dimensioned multiplication:

 $dmult :: (Add m_1 m_2 m, Add s_1 s_2 s) \rightarrow$  $Dim m_1 s_1 \rightarrow Dim m_2 s_2 \rightarrow Dim m s$  $dmult (\_,\_) (D x) (D y) = D (x \times y)$ 

Thus, type-index of product is computed from indices of arguments.

#### **9.2. Inferring proofs of properties**

Capture the proof as a type class (multi-parameter, with functional dependency; essentially a function on types).

class  $Add m n p | m n \rightarrow p$ instance  $Add m n p \Rightarrow Add (S m) n (S p)$ 

Now the proof can be (type-)inferred rather than passed explicitly.

 $dmult :: (Add m_1 m_2 m, Add s_1 s_2 s) \Rightarrow$  $Dim m_1 s_1 \rightarrow Dim m_2 s_2 \rightarrow Dim m s$  $dmult (D x) (D y) = D (x \times y)$ 

Note that the type class has no methods, so corresponds to an empty dictionary; it can be optimized away.

# 10. Application: indexing by type

*Generic programming* is about writing programs parametrized by datatypes; for example, a generic data marshaller.

One implementation of generic programming manifests the parameters as some family of *type representations*.

For example, C's *sprintf* is generic over a family of *format specifiers*.

```
data Format :: * \to * whereI ::Format a \to Format (Int \to a)B ::Format a \to Format (Bool \to a)S :: String \to Format a \to Format aF ::Format String
```

A term of type *Format a* is a representation of the type *a*, for various types *a* (such as  $Int \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow String$ ) appropriate for *sprintf*.

#### 10.1. Type-indexed dispatching

The function *sprintf interprets* the representation, generating a function of the appropriate type:

sprintf :: Format  $a \rightarrow a$ sprintf fmt = aux id fmt where  $aux :: (String \rightarrow String) \rightarrow Format \ a \rightarrow a$   $aux f (I fmt) = \lambda n \rightarrow aux (f \circ (show n + )) fmt$   $aux f (B fmt) = \lambda b \rightarrow aux (f \circ (show b + )) fmt$   $aux f (S s fmt) = aux (f \circ (s + )) fmt$ aux f (F) = f ""

For example, *sprintf* f 13 *True* = "Int is 13, bool is True.", where

$$f :: Format (Int \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow String)$$
  
$$f = S "Int is " (I (S ", bool is " (B (S ". "F))))$$

# 11. Application: indexing by proof

The game of *Mini-Nim*:

- a pile of matchsticks
- players take turns to remove one match or two
- player who removes the last match wins

Index positions by size and proof of destiny.

data Win

data Lose

data Position n r where

*Empty* :: *Position Z Lose* 

Take1 :: Position n Lose  $\rightarrow$  Position (S n) Win

*Take2* :: *Position n Lose*  $\rightarrow$  *Position* (*S* (*S n*)) *Win* 

*Fail* :: *Position n Win*  $\rightarrow$  *Position (S n) Win*  $\rightarrow$  *Position (S (S n)) Lose* 

# 12. Adding weight

We have shown some examples in Haskell with small extensions.

This is a very lightweight approach to dependently-typed programming.

Lightweight approaches have low entry cost, but relatively high continued cost: encoding via type classes etc is a bit painful.

Tim Sheard's  $\Omega$ *mega* is a cut-down version of Haskell with explicit support for GADTs:

- kind declarations
- type-level functions
- statically-generated witnesses

Xi and Pfenning's *Dependent ML* provides natural-number indices, and incorporates decision procedures for discharging proof obligations. These are more heavyweight approaches (such as McBride et al's *Epigram*).

### 13. Conclusions

- generics have become mainstream
- ... but so much more than parametric polymorphism!
- *indexed programming*: a form of lightweight *dependent types*
- Generic and Indexed Programming project at Oxford
- perhaps algebraic datatypes will jump the gap next? (cf Scala)

#### 14. Shameless plug...

