Javascript : the language

accu2009 conference 25th April 2009 Tony Barrett-Powell

Introduction

- Exploring aspects of the language
- Considering styles
 - Procedural
 - Object-oriented
 - Functional
- Some bad parts of the language along the way
- Some of the tools available

Who am I?

- Programmer at Oracle
 - Business Intelligence Tools
 - C++, Java and Javascript
- ACCU
 - Committee member
 - Web site editor

Motivation

- A lot of moaning about Javascript
 - From a strong typing point of view
- Very widely used language
- Suspicion that it is being used from wrong point of view
- A way to solve the problem of code that is repeated in applications with web front-end

A potted history

- Developed at Netscape
 - To provide what java didn't
 - Called mocha, livescript then javascript
- Copied by Microsoft as jscript
- Standardised in an attempt to regain control
 - ECMAscript
 - Errors in jscript kept in standard
- New ambitious standard abandoned for something less so - now in final draft

Basics

- Dynamically typed
- Objects are containers
- Functions are first class entities (lambda)
- Prototype based
- Simple linkage
- Interpreted
- Thread neutral
- Garbage collected

Types

- Number
 - floats no integer type
- Strings
 - immutable, not UTF-16
- Boolean
- Objects
- null and undefined

Procedural javascript

- How much javascript is written
- Example:

function add (x, y) {
 return x + y;
}

Scope

- Limited number of scopes in javascript
- {} is not a scope
- Example: Function foo () { var i = 0; { var i = 1; } return i; }

objects

- Javascript is a prototype based language
 - (self, Javascript and a few others)
 - There are no classes
 - Objects are dynamic (they can be modified at runtime)
 - Containers of name/value pairs
 - Objects are created based on other objects
 - Javascript has pseudo-classical features

Object creation

- Examples: var foo = {};
- And the equivalent
 var foo = new Object();
- These are created based on the Object object
 - Provides minimal methods
 - toString() / toLocaleString()
 - ValueOf()
 - hasOwnProperty() / IsPropertyOf() / propertyIsEnumerable()

Object augmentation

- Example: var foo = {};
- Adding a member: foo.x = 0; foo['y'] = 1;
- Adding a method: foo.add = function (x, y) { return x + y; };

Object literal

 Instead of augmenting the object it can be defined as a literal:

This is the basis of JSON

Object diminution

• What has been added can be taken away:

delete foo.x; delete foo['y']; delete foo.add;

pseudo-classical

- The designers of javascript seemed to have been uncomfortable with the prototype based approach
- They added features that look like class based languages:
 - new keyword
 - Constructor functions
 - instanceof operator
 - Prototype member of user defined functions
- Not really one or the other

A javascript 'class'

• Example:

function Lendable(title) {
 this.title = title;
 return this; // optional
}
Lendable.prototype.getTitle() {
 return this.title;
}

};

var myLendable = new
Lendable('Javascript - The Book');

Looking under the covers



Issues

- Calling a constructor without new
 - this is the global object
 - The global object is returned
 - No error
 - Tools combined with coding style can help
- The object is unlike one produced in a classical language
 - Data is public

Name lookup

- When a method is invoked on myLendable
 - It is looked up in the Lendable object
 - It is then looked up in the Lendable.prototype object
 - And finally in Object.prototype object
- This is called the prototype chain
- A method or member can be added to any of these objects at runtime
- Name lookup occurs at the point of invocation

Mutating state

- A property can exist in the prototype object
 - These are read only from the instance
 - Mutation of these properties creates the property in the instance
 - Deletion of the property in the instance exposes the property in the prototype

Inheritance

- Given we have classes (of sorts) we should be able to support inheritance
 - Questionable in a dynamic language
 - If it does it is
 - Only needed for code re-use
 - Is this enough?
 - Is it a good idea at all?
- We'll look at it anyway

- Inheritance isn't straight forward
- We can simulate class inheritance by replacing the prototype of a class with an instance of the base class:

```
function Book(isbn, title) {
```

```
}
Book.prototype = new Lendable();
```

- But
 - The constructor attribute is pointing at Lendable
 - We have to assign the new prototype before adding any methods to the prototype
 - We haven't inherited the members
- We can all this by creating a prototype object and adding a call in the child's constructor

- So we handle all these with the following code: function obj() {} obj.prototype = Lendable.prototype; Book.prototype = new obj(); Book.prototype.constructor = Book;
- And add a call to the base constructor: function Book(isbn, title) {

```
...
Lendable.call(this,title);
```

```
• • •
```

 We can make this a function to reuse it: extend = function(subClass, baseClass) { function inheritance() {} inheritance.prototype = baseClass.prototype; subClass.prototype = new inheritance(); subClass.prototype.constructor = subClass; subClass.baseConstructor = baseClass; subClass.superClass = baseClass.prototype;

• And use it like:



Pseudo-classical

- But still not very classical:
 - No data hiding
 - Members are public
- We can fix this too, but we need to understand closures...

- We can nest functions
- Nested functions
 - Can access variables from the outer scope
 - Lexical scoping
 - Can be referenced beyond the lifetime of the outer scope
- Let's look at some code...

• An example closure: function scope(param1) { var var1 = 10; function closure(param2) { return param1 + var1 + param2; } return closure; }

var closure = scope(5);
closure.call(11); // equals 26

• We can also construct closures as a return from a factory function:

```
var closure = function() {
   var var1 = 10;
   return {
      closure: function() {
        return var1;
      }
   }
}();
```

 Variables from the scope have value in the closure as at the end of the outside scope: function scope() { var var1 = 10;function closure() { return var1; var1 = 21;return closure;

// closure() returns 21;

Private data

- We can use closures to create private data in our classes
- If we start with the data:

function Lendable(title) {
 var privateTitle = title;
}

- privateTitle is inaccessible after the constructor has exited
 - But we can capture it in a closure

Private data

```
• Adding a function:
function Lendable(title) {
  var privateTitle = title;
  this.getTitle = function()
  {
    return privateTitle;
  }
}
```

 The only problem is that each instance of Lendable gets its own copy of getTitle()

Private methods

 In a similar way we can have private methods: function Lendable(value) { this.val = value; var that = this; function privateCalc() { return (that.title % 2); this.getResult = function() { return privateCalc();

Private methods

- Each instance has a copy of privateCalc() and getResult()
- In the private data and private method examples, the public method is termed 'privileged' as it is able to access private data
- The syntax is subtle
- 'that' required for workaround to specification issue

Classical approach

- We've looked at javascript's pseudo classical nature
 - We've got a long way
 - constructors, methods, members
 - inheritance
 - data hiding
 - Making it more palatable for programmers from classical languages
 - Shows javascript adaptability
 - But I think there might be a better way

prototypes

- Javascript is a prototype based language
- It is dynamically typed
 - We don't need type to determine whether an object is suitable for use
 - We don't need to check if an object is of a particular type
 - If it does, it is
- We may still want to re-use or share code
- We could do this without using the pseudoclassical approach

Differential inheritance

- As a concept prototype based programming
 - Is classless
 - Uses cloning and modification of existing objects (prototypes) to achieve inheritance
 - This generally known as differential inheritance
 - This style comes from Self, though there are other languages including Javascript

Differential Inheritance

- We can achieve this in javascript by simply replacing an objects prototype with an instance of the parent object
 - We did something like this in the pseudo classical approach
- This time we use objects not 'classes'
 var lendable = {
 'title' : 'Javascript Language';

'getTitle' : function()

{return this.title;}

Differential Inheritance

- We can define book: var book = {}; book.prototype = lendable; // augment book
- This can be codified into a function:
 function object(o) {
 function f() {}
 f.prototype = o;
 return f;
 }
 var book = object(lendable);

Differential Inheritance

- This is much simpler than the pseudo classical approach
 - No need for new anywhere (or to forget)
- There are downsides:
 - Can't use instanceof to determine type
 - Prototype chain
 - name lookup only works with public names
 - Could get long, not so good for performance
 - But most javascript applications use the network so this is generally moot
 - State dependency

Another approach

- We don't need to use the prototype chain at all
- Equally valid in a prototype based language as differential inheritance
- Like the prototype pattern in the GoF?
 - Without the classes of course
- Douglas Crockford calls this parasitic inheritance

Parasitic inheritance example

```
    Looking back at Lendable:

 function Lendable(title) {
   var lendable = {};
   lendable.title = title;
   lendable.getTitle =
      function {
        return this.title;
      };
   return lendable;
 var lendable = Lendable();
```

Parasitic inheritance example

• Then book:

```
function Book(isbn,title) {
  var book = Lendable(title);
  book.isbn = isbn;
  book.getISBN =
    function {
      return this.isbn;
    };
  return book;
var book = Book();
```

Parasitic inheritance

- This is more in keeping with the conceptual basis of the language
- The new object is everything the parent was and more
- No state dependency
- Problem: methods are not shared

Parasitic Inheritance

- Is good
 - expect if you want to have a million objects of the same 'type'
 - Memory usage more than the pseudo-classical approach
- We need a hybrid approach for this
 - Use a shared prototype with the public methods
 - Create the objects with this prototype

Summary of OO

- Styles of OO
 - Pseudo classical
 - Prototype based
- We don't need the class style in Javascript
 - Except for class (Java, C++?) programmers
- Parasitic Inheritance possibly more in tune with the language

Linkage

- All the examples so far have added names to the global namespace
- The global namespace is basically a global variable
 - Linkage through global variable
 - Bad for mashups and the like
- Use functions to provide namespaces to stop pollution of global namespace

- We know that in javascript functions are first class entities
 - We've already used them to create closures
 - We've returned these closures from functions
- We can pass functions as arguments to other functions
 - These functions don't need names
 - Lamda

```
    An example from Eloquent JavaScript:

 function printArray(a) {
    for (var i=0; i<a.length; i++) {</pre>
      print(a[i]);
 function sumArray(a) {
    var sum = 0;
    for (var i=0; i<a.length; i++) {</pre>
      sum += a[i];
    }
    return sum;
```

- In each example the for loop is repeated
 - The variation point is the function applied to each element
- So we can extract this: function forEach(a, action) { for (var i=0; i<a.length; i++) { action(a[i]); }

```
• And rewrite the examples:
function printArray(a) {
forEach(a, print);
}
```

```
function sumArray(a) {
  var sum = 0;
  forEach(a, function(number) {
    sum += number;
  });
  return sum;
}
```

- It doesn't appear to give much advantage for these simple examples
- But it does reduce the noise
 - no more loop or index
- A function which operates on another function is called a higher order function
 - Closer to the problem
 - Less mechanism
- "More software, less code"

- The forEach function used by sumArray was an example of the functional programming *reduce*
 - from Lisp
- Reduce looks like this in javascript:
 function reduce(fn, a, base) {
 var s = base;
 for (var i=0; i<a.length; i++) {
 s = fn(s, a[i]);
 }
 return s;
 }</pre>

• We can write SumArray using it:

```
function sumArray(a) {
  return reduce(function(s, number) {
      return s + number;
    },
    a, 0);
}
```

• Even less code

• If there is reduce then there must be map:

```
function map(fn, a) {
  var ret = [];
  for (var i=0; i<a.length; i++) {
    ret.push(fn(a[i]));
  }
  return ret;
}</pre>
```

- You could assign back to a: a = fn(a[i]);
 - But that wouldn't be functional
 - side effects

- So we can use map to multiply all array entries by 2:
 - function doubleArrayElements(a) {
 return map(function(number) {
 return number * 2;
 },
 a);

- What about recursive unnamed functions?
- If we wanted to calculate the fibonacci number for each array entry (say for an interview): function calculateFabonacci(a) { return map(function(n) { f = arguments.callee; var s=0;if (n=0) {return s;} else if (n=1) {return s+=1;} else {return (f(n-1) + f(n-2));} }, a);

- From these simple examples we can see the ability to reduce code and improve expressiveness
- Not bad for a simple language
 - Or maybe it is just misunderstood

Tools

- A brief look at some tools that help the javascript programmer
 - editors
 - debuggers
 - libraries
 - testing

JSLint

- A tool by Douglas Crockford
- Both a lint and layout checker
- Immediately annoying and useful in equal measure
- Helps avoid bad parts of javascript
- JSLintForJava from google allows javscript lint to be used from Ant

Editors

- Many editors provide highlighting for javascript
- Notable editors
 - Eclipse with JSEclipse (used by colleague)
 - NetBeans (used by another colleague)
 - Scintilla has good highlighting, folding etc
- No real killer IDE

Debuggers

- Mainly browser based:
 - Mozilla/Firefox
 - Firebug
 - Javascript debugger
 - Venkman (dormant)
 - IE
 - Microsoft script debugger (Office and Visual Studio)
 - Chrome
 - Has one built in (not so good yet)
- Also one for Rhino (Mozilla Rhino debugger)

Libraries

- Main libraries:
 - Prototype (for dynamic web applications)
 - Dojo Toolkit (similar to Prototype)
 - X (widgets and more)
- As many AJAX libraries as can be imagined
- Not forgetting:
 - GWT (which makes this session a bit redundant)

Testing

- Selenium (we use this for system testing)
 - Integrates with ant and junit
- Rhinounit (unit testing on Rhino)
 - Also integrates with ant and junit
- JSUnit
 - Looks good but I've had trouble making it work
- JSNUnit

- For .NET, but I haven't tried it

JSCoverage/JSMock

Javascript

- Dynamically typed
- Objects are containers
- Lambda (functions are first class entities)
- Prototype based
- Simple linkage
- Interpreted
- Thread neutral
- Garbage collected